

**GRUNDTVIG LEARNING PARTNERSHIP PROJECT**

**"ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP IN EUROPE"**

**Project No. 2013-1-GB2-GRU06-11105-4**


**THE EVALUATION REPORT OF THE THIRD MEETING ON "ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP IN EUROPE"**

The third partner meeting of the project "Active Citizenship in Europe" was held on November 3 and 4 in Bordeaux, France. The meeting was hosted by one of the partner organizations, IFAID Aquitaine. Four partner organizations from four countries (Germany, France, Spain and the Netherlands) took part in the meeting.

The third meeting included a brief presentation of the project for the new participants, presentation of the English course on European citizenship carried out by IFAID Aquitaine, the presentation of the online course made by Centro del Profesorado Bollulos-Valverde, the workshop organized by Dissens, and discussions about the documentation of the project, possible dissemination activities and dates and contents of the last meeting in Berlin.

The evaluation questionnaire was developed and distributed to all of the partner organizations by SECMO after the project meeting. The questionnaire looked for responses regarding participants' views on the icebreaking activity conducted by IFAID Aquitaine (Q1), the evaluations of the presentation of the English course on European citizenship carried out by IFAID Aquitaine (Q2), the presentation of the online course made by Centro del Profesorado Bollulos-Valverde (Q3), the workshop organized by Dissens (Q4), the session on "How to document our results from the project for the National Agency?" (Q5), the session on "The communication within the project: how to use more effectively the blog? Other dissemination activities to be discussed?" (Q6), the dates and contents of the last meeting in Berlin (Q7), overall satisfaction of the current meeting (Q8), the organization of the meeting (Q9), and the ideas on whether all of the participants have contributed to build common ideas

at the end of the meeting (Q10). Twelve participants answered and sent back the questionnaire. The distribution of the scores for each question is given below (See Appendix for the questions).



**Figure 1.** Distribution of the scores on the evaluation questionnaire

The distribution of the scores vary between 3,64 and 4,42 out of 5. The highest score is about the icebreaking activity conducted by IFAID Aquitaine. The lowest score is about the organization of dates and contents of the last meeting which will be held in Berlin. The overall satisfaction score is 3,96 out of 5.

Based on the responses to the questionnaire on the third meeting presented above, the participants are satisfied with the presentation about the online course prepared by Centro del Profesorado Bollulos-Valverde and the presentation of the English course on European citizenship carried out by IFAID Aquitaine. These two questions are about the concrete outcomes of the project and gives the satisfaction of reaching out the project targets. As the questions with the lowest score, which were about managing the project meeting in terms of documentation, dissemination, contribution of the participants and the organization of the remaining meeting, the suggestion might be that each participant should be provided with information regarding the aims and content of the meeting beforehand so that they can come prepared to fulfil the expectations of the meeting. Another suggestion

might be that the hosting and coordinating partner should work in collaboration and coordinating partner should handle the management of the time, participants and sessions effectively. Being a strong team and a project, improving the effectiveness will help us fully achieve the aims of the project.

### **Appendix**

Q1: Can you please evaluate "Getting to know each other /icebreaking activity"

Q2: Can you please evaluate "Presentation of the English course on European citizenship carried out by IFAID Aquitaine"

Q3: Can you please evaluate "Presentation of the online course made by Centro del Profesorado Bollulos-Valverde."

Q4: Can you please evaluate "Workshop organized by Dissens."

Q5: Can you please evaluate the session "How to document our results from the project for the NA?"

Q6: Can you please evaluate the session "The communication within the project: how to use more effectively the blog? Other dissemination activities to be discussed?"

Q7: Can you please evaluate "Dates and contents of the last meeting in Berlin"

Q8: What is your overall satisfaction with this meeting?

Q9: Do you think that the meeting was well organized?

Q10: Do you think that at the end of the meeting all the participants have contributed to build common ideas?